



Provo City Police Department
Crime Report

Report Date: 10-12-99

Report #Id : 897494.A160

DR# 9911148
IN# 897494

CODE	OFFENSE DESCRIPTION
5710	Criminal Trespass
5806	Domestic Violence
5804	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IN PRESENCE O

Weapon, Force or Means used-
TIME-DAY
Apparent Motive-
OTHER
Location of Occurrence-
3736 LITTLE ROCK DR

OCC. ON: Date Time
OR BTWN: 10-12-99 17:41
REPORTED: 10-12-99

Source: P Phone

Connecting reports-

Investigative divisions, units, persons notified-

Case Clearance/Disposition: 5 Inactive

ADDITIONAL PEOPLE INVOLVED

CODES: S=Suspect, V=Victim, W=Witness, C=Complainant, F=Father, M=Mother

S1	Name: HAMBLIN, DAVID LEE Addr: 280 E 2200 N CSZ: PROVO UT 84604 AKA:	DOB: Sex: M Race: W HP: 801 374-9444 Testify:	Age: 45 Eth. N WP:
C1	Name: Addr: CSZ: AKA:	DOB: Sex: Race: HP: Testify:	Age: Eth. WP:
O1	Name: Addr: CSZ: AKA:	DOB: Sex: Race: HP: Testify:	Age: Eth. WP:
O2	Name: Addr: CSZ: AKA:	DOB: Sex: Race: HP: Testify:	Age: Eth. WP:
V1	Name: HAMBLIN, ROSELLE A Addr: 3736 LITTLE ROCK DR CSZ: PROVO UT 84604 AKA:	DOB: 05-02-53 Sex: F Race: W HP: 801 221-1783 Testify:	Age: 46 Eth. N WP:
V2	Name: Addr: CSZ: AKA:	DOB: Sex: Race: HP: Testify:	Age: Eth. WP:

The Details are as follows:

On Tuesday, 10-12-99, at approx. 1241 hours, I responded to 3736 Little Rock Dr. in regards to a possible custody dispute. The details given from

Supervisor P# Reporting Officer(s) P# Assmt. Rep. Off. Signature

Assigned to: P# Assmt. BEAN, HIATT P160 PATROL Div/Cltk To Whom

__ Submit to C/A __ Active __ Other __ Immediate F/U __ Pending __ Inactive



Provo City Police Department
Crime Report

Report Date: 10-12-99

Report #Id : 897494.A160

DR# 9911148
IN# 897494

Continued...

Dispatch were that a S1/David Lee Hamblin was at the residence and they had made verbal agreements between their attorneys that he was not to come to the residence or on the property for visitation rights. The verbal agreement that allegedly had taken place, also stipulated that there would be no visitation until their next hearing with the judge.

The facts are as follows.

When I arrived on scene, I met with V1/Roselle A. Hamblin. She stated that she is currently going through a divorce with S1/David Hamblin. V1/Roselle stated that she and her four daughters, C1/, O1/, O2/, and V2/ were all staying at V1/Roselle Hamblin's parents house. V1/Roselle Hamblin stated that they had been separated since approx. February. V1/Roselle Hamblin told me that S1/David Hamblin had already left the residence. However, he was waiting outside when she drove home from V2/'s dance lessons. V1/Roselle Hamblin stated that S1/David Hamblin followed her into the driveway and tried to talk to her and even requested that V2/ go with him for visitation today. V1/Roselle Hamblin stated that she ignored S1/David Hamblin and took V2/ into the house. V1/Roselle Hamblin further stated that S1/David Hamblin then went to the front door and rang the doorbell several times and waited on the front porch for 5-10 minutes before he finally left.

O1/ stated that she went outside to speak with her father. She stated that he asked her several questions about what her mother's attorney was planning and doing but stated that she would not answer any of those questions and asked him to leave.

V1/Roselle Hamblin stated that she no longer wished to allow S1/David Hamblin visitation rights until they met with the judge in their next hearing. She requested that I try to make contact with S1/David Hamblin and issue him a No Trespass Warning for their residence.

I made contact with S1/David Hamblin by telephone at the number 374-9444. He was currently at his residence at 280 E 2200 N. in Provo. I asked S1/David Hamblin if he had been to V1/Roselle Hamblin's residence located at 3736 Little Rock Dr. to which he stated he had. He admitted that he had come up onto the property and into the garage and that he had attempted to ring the door bell and waited for possible visitation rights. S1/David Hamblin stated that he was not in any way threatening or aggressive or abusive to any of the children or to V1/Roselle Hamblin. He simply wanted to request visitation for the day. I would like to note that V1/Roselle Hamblin did agree that S1/David Hamblin was not threatening or abusive on his visit.

I explained to S1/David Hamblin that it was V1/Roselle Hamblin's wish that he not return to the residence where they are currently staying and that he no longer attempt contact to schedule visitation until after they had met with the judge at their next hearing. S1/David Hamblin stated that he had a court order mandating that he have visitation. I explained that that order was a civil order, and at this point, would have to be handled through civil court. I asked S1/David Hamblin if he understood what I was saying and he stated that he understood and would not return to the residence.

Nothing further. This case will be closed. Dispo. 5 /jab